



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/664,485

09/17/2003

Daisuke Haba

393032040600

4364

7590 12/31/2008
David L. Fehrman
Morrison & Foerster LLP
Suite 3500
555 West 5th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1024

EXAMINER

PAUL, DISLER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/31/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/664,485	Applicant(s) HABA, DAISUKE	
	Examiner DISLER PAUL	Art Unit 2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 October 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,9 and 12-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,9,12-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

10664485

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The applicant's amended claim wherein "having multiple of internal threads are provided on the under surface of said body, and said internal threads extend in a direction internal to said body and are adapted to be engaged with external thread provided at the upper end of a stand, thereby allowing, said electro apparatus to be fixed on the upper end of the stand and supported by the stand", is further analyzed and rejected in view of Minagawa (US 6,412,737 B1).

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1,3,9,12,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chvojcek (US 5,395,178) and Minagawa (US 6,412,737 B1) and Bodine, Jr.(US 5,060,638) and Hirade et al. (US 7,119,267 B2).

Claim 1, Chvojcek disclose of the electro apparatus being small enough to be transportable, wherein a multiplicity of operators are provided on a top surface of a body of the apparatus (fig.1/with operators) ; a groove is provided on an under surface of said body and allowing said electro apparatus to be fixed on the upper end of the stand and supported by the stand(fig.17 wt (82), col.5 line 30-38; fig.6,2 wt(34,36); .fig.17 wt (82,84,64); col.4 line 57-67, col.5 line 1-40/groove wt key attached and wherein bolt/screw for fixed interconnection of body with stand).

But, Chvojcek fail to disclose of specific of interconnection system of having multiple of internal threads are provided on the under surface of said body, and said internal threads extend in a direction internal to said body and are adapted to be engaged with external thread provided at the upper end of a stand, thereby allowing, said electro apparatus to be fixed on the

Art Unit: 2614

upper end of the stand and supported by the stand. But, Minagawa disclose of an interconnection system wherein similar concept of having multiple of internal threads are provided on the under surface of said body, and said internal threads extend in a direction internal to said body and are adapted to be engaged with external thread provided at the upper end of a stand, thereby allowing, said electro apparatus to be fixed on the upper end of the stand and supported by the stand (fig.1 wt (44); fig.3; col.2 line 45-60; col.3 line 15-30/multiple threads both at stand and the body for locking). thus, taking the combined teaching of Chvojcek and Minagawa as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified Chvojcek with the specific wherein interconnection system of having multiple of internal threads are provided on the under surface of said body, and said internal threads extend in a direction internal to said body and are adapted to be engaged with external thread provided at the upper end of a stand, thereby allowing, said electro apparatus to be fixed on the upper end of the stand and supported by the stand for locking the article on the stand thereby holding the article firmly ion the support stand.

However, the combined teaching of Chvojcek and Minagawa as a whole, fail to disclose of the groove with the specific wherein the groove extends from one end of said under surface to the other end through the center of said under surface. But, Bodine, Jr. disclose of a system wherein the groove with the specific wherein the groove extends from one end of said under surface to the other end through the center of said under surface (fig.1-8 wt (12); col.4 line 25-33) for purpose of enabling the forearm of a human to rest comfortably within the device. Thus, taking the combined teaching of Chvojcek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined teaching of

Art Unit: 2614

Chvojcek and Minagawa as a whole, by incorporating the groove extends from one end of said under surface to the other end through the center of said under surface for purpose of enabling the forearm of a human to rest comfortably within the device.

However, the combined teaching of Chvojcek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. as a whole, fail to disclose of the device electro apparatus being the electro audio device. However, Hirade et al. disclosed of a similar portable system wherein the electro apparatus being an electro-audio device (fig.1; col.3 line 20-25) for purpose of producing high quality sound without concern for space. Thus, taking the combined teaching of Chvojcek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. and Hirade et al. as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modify the combined teaching of Chvojcek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. as a whole, by incorporating the electro apparatus being the electro-acoustic device for purpose of producing quality sound without due concern for space.

Re Claim 3, the electro audio apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said electro audio apparatus is formed to have a low front part and a high rear part, such that a top surface of said electro audio apparatus has a low front part and a high rear part when placed on a table, and stays on a substantially horizontal position when mounted on said stand (col.2 line 5-10; fig.1, 16/machines to sit on table with structure as in fig.1).

Re Claim 9, the electro audio apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said electro-audio apparatus is an audio mixer (fig.1, col.3 line 20-25).

Art Unit: 2614

Claim 12, the electro audio apparatus according to claim 1 with the groove, However, the combined teaching of Chvojcek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. and Hirade et al. as a whole, fail to disclose of the wherein the groove has shallow depths at its front part and deep depths at its rear part. However, official notice is taken the concept of having the groove has shallow depths at its front part and deep depths at its rear part is simply the inventor's preference. Thus, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modify the combined teaching of Chvojcek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. and Hirade et al. as a whole, by incorporating the concept of having the groove has shallow depths at its front part and deep depths at its rear part for creating the comfort for the user's arm.

Claim 14, the electro audio apparatus according to claim 1, However, the combined teaching of Chvojcek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. and Hirade et al. as a whole, fail to disclose of the wherein triangular slanting surfaces respectively whose width is increased in their rear part are provided between the under surface of the body and side faces of the body. But, such concept as above is simply the inventor's preference. Thus, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modify the combined teaching of Chvojcek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. and Hirade et al. as a whole, by incorporating the concept of wherein triangular slanting surfaces respectively whose width is increased in their rear part are provided between the under surface of the body and side faces of the body for creating aesthetic look of the device.

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chvojcek (US 5,395,178) and Minagawa (US 6,412,737 B1) and Bodine, Jr.(US

Art Unit: 2614

5,060,638) and Hirade et al. (US 7,119,267 B2) and further in view of MerCs (2002/0009205 A1).

Re claim 13, the electronic audio apparatus according to claim 1, However, the combined teaching of Chvojcek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. and Hirade et al. as a whole, fail to disclose of wherein the level meter is provided on a front part of a top surface of said body. But, MerCs did disclose of a system wherein the level meter is provided on a front part of a top surface of a body (fig.2; page 1[0015]) for the purpose of indicating the level strength signal by the microphone mixer. Thus, taking the combined teaching of Chvojcek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. and Hirade et al. and MerCs as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified combined teaching of Chvojcek and Managawa and Bodine, Jr. and Hirade et al. as a whole, by incorporating the level meter is provided on a front part of a top surface of said body for the purpose of indicating the level strength signal by the microphone mixer.

4. Claim 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chvojcek (US 5,395,178) and Minagawa (US 6,412,737 B1) and Bodine, Jr.(US 5,060,638) and Hirade et al. (US 7,119,267 B2) .

Re claim 15, the electro audio apparatus according to claim 1, However, the combined teaching of Chvojcek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. and Hirade et

Art Unit: 2614

al. as a whole, fail to teach of the wherein discoid supportive protrusions, projecting out of the under surface are provided at the vicinity of the four corners of the under surface of the body. However, official notice is taken the limitation of having the discoid supportive protrusions, projecting out of the under surface are provided at the vicinity of the four corners of the under surface of the body is commonly known in the art, thus it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combined teaching of Chvojsek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. and Hirade et al. as a whole, by incorporating the teaching of having the discoid supportive protrusions, projecting out of the under surface are provided at the vicinity of the four corners of the under surface of the body for the purpose of stabilizing the device when positioned on the surface floor.

Re claim 16, the electro audio apparatus according to claim 1, However, the combined teaching of Chvojsek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. and Hirade et al. as a whole, fail to teach of having the switch and a connector terminal provided on a rear panel which is formed on the rear of the body. However, official notice is taken the limitation of having the switch and a connector terminal provided on a rear panel which is formed on the rear of the body is commonly known in the art. Thus it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combined teaching of Chvojsek and Minagawa and Bodine, Jr. and

Art Unit: 2614

Hirade et al. as a whole, by incorporating the having the switch and a connector terminal are provided on a rear panel which is formed on the rear of the body for the purpose of disabling the device and as well as connecting the device to external electronic apparatus.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DISLER PAUL whose telephone number is (571)270-1187. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. P./

Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Vivian Chin/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Application/Control Number: 10/664,485
Art Unit: 2614

Page 10